

Resistance

'BREAKING



THE BREXIT DEADLOCK?

Despite being reassured over '29 March', Brexit slipped... to 12 April... then 31 Oct. Some Tory leadership contenders like Michael Gove warn that renegotiating could move the date back further. That is assuming that the EU will play ball...

This backlash at the Euro-Election was stunning. Remoaners had to put on a brave face, claiming that parties pro Remain or at least a 2nd referendum outpulled 'clean Brexit' parties.

Not quite as straightforward as that. Even at Euro-Elections, voters vote on a range of issues. 28% of Green Party voters were anti EU. Of other parties with historic opposition, 25% of SNP and 37% of Labour voters were anti. Plus 67% of Conservative voters and even 10% of LibDems!!!

OPINION MOVING TO 'LEAVE'?

A very rough UK-wide projection put a figure at **53% Leave**. This compares with a **52:48%** split in Lord Ashcroft's poll and Remain majorities from self-selecting opinion poll panels.

All figures are snapshots and subject to change. However a 2nd referendum would have less EU nationals able to vote and, as in 2016, could attract those who don't vote in elections but who care about their country.

Although **7%** of 2016 Leavers might have changed their mind after the barrage of anti-Brexit propaganda, **15%** of Remainers have either changed theirs or decently respect the majority view – remember the many reluctant Remainers who were not keen on the EU but not reassured enough to vote with their hearts?

So far this movement seems to have outweighed any demographic surge from those 'Don't Know's in 2016 or those then too young to vote. Clued-up MPs still **reject a 2nd referendum**.

At least the success of the Brexit Party – coming from nowhere – has forced Tory leadership hopefuls to admit they need to deliver Brexit - or else!

The biggest issue is whether the EU will reopen negotiations. M. Barnier is adamant it will not, but is he just acting tough to get the Commission President nomination, due to be decided shortly?

A Commons Library paper for MPs, 'EU preparations for a No Deal Brexit', sees problems for the EU side in the absence of a comprehensive deal. Although the Commission might be prepared *centrally* for 'No Deal', EU members and businesses would suffer. So harder heads might prevail?

'No Deal' does not really exist – there would be several stop-gap measures to maintain trade, citizen rights etc. However many of these would be **temporary** and need to be renewed.

Of the EU members reviewed, only Germany would seem to be difficult over a flexible 'Brexit a la carte' and making an example of us. Pragmatism may yet win the day in a majority vote.

DEAL LEGALITY QUESTIONED

Apart from playing the economic disruption card, the next PM should argue that the EU stance breaks **EU and international law** – former ECJ judge Franklin Dehousse has!

Binding EU negotiating guidelines require 'transitional' arrangements to be *time-limited* and "To the extent necessary and legally possible..." The N Ireland backstop fails both tests as it undermines the Belfast Agreement.



The EU's Withdrawal Agreement try-on does not honour other international agreements respecting sovereignty and independence - not that our Euro-fanatic 'negotiators' seem bothered.

The Attorney-General's wider legal advice remains unpublished (why?) but legal authorities have spoken out about 'illegal' membership extension. Robin Tilbrook's court action should be heard and decided in June-July.



Although Britain has yet to tide over 400 international agreements negotiated for it by the EU, a Commons Library paper, 'UK replacement of the EU's external agreements after Brexit...' is worth a read.

It noted "all partner countries are committed to ensuring there is no disruption to our trading relationship" – consistent with the spirit of the WTO. There are some sticking points but they tend to be highly specific. Some deals are on hold pending decisions on whether to tide-over or use extra time to Improve arrangements.

Setting aside the Tilbrook case, which could drag on if the government loses but appeals, there has been interest in how a new PM could get 'No Deal' (technically 'No STitch-up Robbins Agreement' or NOSTRA?) through.

Maddy Thimont Jack, a sharp-minded Insti-tute for Government analyst, argues that unless the Speaker is creative on procedure, MPs could not stop a 'No Deal' Brexit.



There is the question of how a new PM could get through other Brexit-related Bills - the use of emergency powers has been suggested.

🌟 A small positive about Brexit being delayed past April was that it cleared the air for a few weeks. May's stuck-in-a-groove mentality had made Brexit discussion so repetitive and tedious that even committed Leavers just switched off their TVs.

Continuous negative coverage might be one reason why polls had given Remain an 8 point lead? As part of the incessant orchestrated Remoaner offensive, Treasury propaganda and the Electoral Commission picking on Leavers might have had some effect.

While caving in to the EU's demands, May riled EU27 leaders by acting clueless and even in an inflammatory way (Salzburg) to lose any goodwill. Was this, like her undermining Brexit ministers and wasting time (e.g. on the Chequers proposals) deliberate?



Exposing the EU control agenda

Many people only heard about **5G** – the latest wireless communications technology - after the row about China's Huawei being a UK supplier.

Despite its gripes over China, the EU won't ban Huawei. The Commission even ducked a MEP question as to whether Huawei was supplying it!

The USA and Australia ban the firm as it is liable to Chinese government influence; a **security risk**. If the UK uses Huawei, it could end up blocked from the Anglosphere 'Five Eyes' defence intelligence collaboration and more closely tied to the EU.

Remember this? "The EU is set to become the most surveilled place in the world" - Statewatch, 2008



The UK recently launched 5G trials, with ambitious plans to roll it out nationwide, citing faster and more reliable connections for playing games and videos. Future uses include driverless vehicles and Smart Cities with hyper-connected objects (e.g. dustbins that say they're full).

The EU is **strongly** pushing 5G, but Europol worries that it will be harder to tap communications (although 5G should in time allow more not less opportunities for surveillance.)

However the Brussels municipality has blocked 5G because of **health** concerns. 5G uses more intense (EHF EMF) energy emissions.

Science expert **Dr Martin Pall** warns of several potential health problems from headaches to organ damage and cancers. He notes a big gap in the international safety standard, and that widespread use is being planned without proper evidence of safety.

Health Protection Agency / Public Health England reports on other EMF emissions raise some concerns.

We have been asked to cover a novel but very timely topic – thanks especially to R and P for their suggestions.

The Lib, Lab and Con parties support 5G in the context of the 'green' UN Agenda 2030. The Green Party has been quiet over 5G, but worried members have just tabled a motion calling for a moratorium (pause) pending further investigation. It berates EU failure to follow its own 'precautionary principle' on what is becoming 'a mass experiment'.



Cornish resident Jane Harvey warns 5G needs transmitters every 10-20 houses, far denser than mobile phone masts.

The very pro-EU **Greater London Authority** leads on the Sharing Cities Programme with 'Smarter London Together'. This could see new style LED lamp-posts and other street furniture fitted with monitoring sensors, cameras and transmitters. It was left to UKIP AM **David Kurten** to raise questions over safety.

A judge has ruled that Gateshead Council misused powers to try to gag outspoken local Mark Steele. After concerns raised in Shropshire, the West Midlands Combined Authority is assessing potential health impact.

✳ This article can only cover the tip of a large iceberg on a significant EU/UK government initiative. For more information, see our References.



THE COMING WAR ON TRUTH?

✳ Esther McVey MP was torched by Remoaners for repeating a claim that if still in the EU by 2020, the UK'd have to adopt the Euro. She failed to check such a controversial item.

✳ Other campaigners were asked to widely circulate 'facts' on the Lisbon Treaty to any Remainers / under-35s they knew. The inaccuracies were so great, it could only have been a set-up. Prof Steve Peers has pulled the very wild claims to pieces.

✳ Recently an item was circulated insisting that Morocco and many other non-European countries are set to join the EU. (Tones of the 2016 clanger when an irresponsible Vote Leaver urged that Turkey was 'about to join the EU', bringing discredit onto our side). The EU is only open to European countries; Morocco was refused accession for this reason!

Checking claims that might damage us at source is recommended; if not possible, they could be identified as *hearsay* or worked into a *question*.

✳ The BBC at least quoted a Ford manager that the firm's Bridgend plant closure was not Brexit-related. That didn't stop WalesOnline from making up that it was! (The website serves S Wales papers in the very anti-Brexit Trinity Mirror-Reach group). We made a complaint.

✳ A Commission document urges action against 'disinformation' (fake news) that it identifies as "*weakening faith in institutions and established political processes*". Which ones might they be thinking of?



Colourful Tory leadership hopeful Rory Stewart MP has been a strong defender of the ill-fated EU Withdrawal Agreement, despite 'accepting Brexit'.



Stewart is backed by Remoaners Ken Clarke, Gauke and Sandbach. Like Yvette Cooper, Ed Balls, Chuka Umunna and President Macron, he was once in the exclusive Young Global Leaders network. He was also a member of invitation-only Trilateral Commission, a globalist forum that asks nation states to refrain from "*unilateral actions incompatible with ...interdependence*"; read 'national sovereignty or self-sufficiency'?

References and notes for this issue <http://www.newalliance.org.uk/ref619.htm>

Also links to a Glossary of terms used.



PLEASE SPREAD THE WORD....

Printed & published by New Alliance, PO Box 13199, London SW6 6ZU info@newalliance.org.uk, Tel: 07976 414913

Thanks for all donations (only to 'New Alliance'), which are gratefully received - and used to good effect